

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/626,612 | 07/25/2003 | Shushi Ikeda | 240733US0 | 9365 | |
| 22850 | 7590 01/20/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| • | OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET | | | YEE, DEBORAH | |
| | A, VA 22314 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| • | ` | | 1742 | | |

DATE MAILED: 01/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--------------|--|--|--|
| | 10/626,612 | IKEDA ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Deborah Yee | 1742 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence ac | ddress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB | CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this of the companion of the co | , | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 f | November 2005. | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi | is action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D | . 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-8 is/are withdrawn | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 -5 and 9</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | e drawing(s) be held in abeyan | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | ction is required if the drawing(| s) is objected to. See 37 Cl | FR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. Note the attached | Office Action or form P7 | ΓΟ-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the price | ority documents have been | received in this National | Stage | | | |
| application from the International Burea | . , ,, | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | t of the certified copies not r | eceived. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | ummary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | |)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTC | D-152) | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | , | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/626,612 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11-16-05 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1 and 3 to 5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese patent 2000319759 or Japanese patent 2000309853.
- 2. JP'759 and JP'853, each discloses specific steel example 5 in Table 1 which meets the claimed composition, and exhibits excellent bending properties, and high tensile strength and elongation properties within the ranges disclosed by present invention
- 3. Moreover, the English abstract discloses steel alloy having a composite microstructure of 5 to 15% retained austenite (within the claimed retained austenite

Application/Control Number: 10/626,612 Page 3

Art Unit: 1742

ranges of 5 to 30% and 5 to 20%) and a balance of martensite, bainite and ferrite. Even though 50% ferrite as recited by the claim is not taught by prior art, such would be expected since compositional limitations and tensile strength and elongation properties are met, and in absence of proof to the contrary. Moreover, first paragraph on page 6 of applicant's specification discloses present invention alloy may additionally contain bainite and martensite. Hence claims would not patentably distinguish over prior art.

- 4. Also even though prior art does not teach the limitation of no more than 40 carbide/2000 micron 2 recited by claim 1 or 30 carbide/2000micron2 recited by 5 or 9 to 40 carbide grains/micron2 recited by claim 9 between the retained austenite and ferrite, such carbide limitation would be expected since composition and property limitations are met, and in absence of proof to the contrary.
- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese patent 2000319759 or Japanese patent 2000309853 as applied to claims 1 and 3 to 5 above, and further in European patent 1201780.
- 6. JP'759 and JP'853 steel meet the recited claim but fails to contain small amounts of Mo, Ni and/or Cu. These elements, however, are well known in the metallurgical art as conventional additives to further enhance strength and hardening properties in low-alloy steel sheets as evident by paragraphs 46 and 50 on page 8 of EP'780. Hence it would be an obvious modification and a matter of choice well within the skill of the artisan to add Mo, Ni and/or Cu to the steels of JP'759 and JP'853 to produce no more than the known and expected effect of such an addition.

Art Unit: 1742

- 7. Claims 1, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over European patent 1201780 cited by applicant in IDS dated July 5, 2005.
- 8. EP789 in claims 1 to 6 discloses a steel sheet having a composition with constituents whose wt% ranges overlap those recited by the claims; such overlap renders applicant's composition prima facie obvious because it would obvious to one skilled in the art to select the claimed alloy wt% ranges over the broader disclosure of the prior art since similar utility and high strength, bendability and elongation properties are taught. Moreover similar to the present invention, EP'789 in claim 6 discloses a microstructure comprising ferrite as the main phase with 5 to 25% retained austenite.
- 9. More specifically, EP'780 No.6 specific steel F in Table 3 on page 15 meets the claimed composition and in table 4 on page 17, No. 14 steel F has a 72% ferrite and 10% retained austenite, and meets the claimed microstructure. Even though small amounts of Mo, Ni, Cu, Ca and/or REM as recited by claims 2 and 3 are not included in steel F, such would be obvious to incorporate in view of paragraphs 46 and 49 on page 8 of EP'780.
- 10. Also even though prior art does not teach the limitation of no more than 40 carbide/2000 micron 2 recited by claim 1 or 30 carbide/2000micron2 recited by claim 4 or 9 to 40 carbide grains/micron2 recited by claim 9 between the retained austenite and ferrite, such carbide limitation would be expected since composition and property limitations are closely met, and in absence of proof to the contrary. Moreover, prior art steel sheet is processed in substantially the same manner as taught by applicants. See prior art claim 12 on page 20 wherein steel sheet is subjected to heating and holding at

Application/Control Number: 10/626,612 Page 5

Art Unit: 1742

Ar1 to Ar3 (encompasses 700+/-30C) for 1 to 20 seconds (overlaps 10 to 30 seconds), cooling at 20C/sec or higher(within greater than 10C/sec) to a temperature of 350 to 450C(within the range 400+/-50C).

11. The relevant references cited by the European Search Report have been considered by the examiner and the closest reference, EP1201780, has been applied to this office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Yee whose telephone number is 571-272-1253. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:00 to 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Deborah Yee Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1742

Neboraly.